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Abstract

The refurbishment of existing buildings with the aim of spatial-material improvement combined with the reduction of energy consumption is a very contemporary topic in recent years. The interest, both of experts and the general population, in saving energy while minimizing operating costs is constantly increasing, and it is emphasized by the uncertainties of energy supply coupled with constant increase in prices. Residential buildings built in the period from 1946 to 1970 make up one quarter of the total multi-family stock in Serbia. Most of these buildings are characterized by high energy consumption for heating due to poor thermal performance of the building envelope. Created according to the design regulations valid at that time, valued by today’s user requirements, they have major spatial and organizational deficiencies, notably small apartments, outdated and inflexible spatial organization and small windows on the facades. The absence of elevators in many multi-family buildings from that period also reduces their comfort of use. The subject of the research is based on application of deep refurbishment methodology by adding volumes, as a constructive-functional element, to existing multi-family buildings. Primary goal represents achieving high energy efficiency levels combined with bettering of spatial and living standards through remodeling and modernization of residential units, and improving vertical building communications. The paper will illustrate the application of the principle of volumetric addition on three typologically different multi-family residential buildings. Based on the National Typology of Residential Buildings of Serbia, the subject of research are most common building typologies: free-standing buildings, row buildings within a city block and “lamellas”. The research will analyze energy performance of the existing state on representative buildings of each type, as well as performance of improved state through application of strategy of deep refurbishment by adding a volume. The goal of the research is to review the advantages and limitations of proposed method for energy and spatial improvements in different types of multi-family residential buildings.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The refurbishment of existing buildings with the primary aim of reducing energy consumption has become a very contemporary topic in recent years. The Amended Directive on Energy Efficiency and the Directive on the Energy Performance of Buildings contain clear objectives related to long-term strategies for the renewal of the building stock in Europe [1]. In addition to these documents, the European Green Deal provides guidelines for the renewal of the existing building stock in order to improve energy efficiency and reduce energy consumption [2]. Many renovation strategies are based on energy-saving measures, such as retrofitting existing buildings to improve the building's building envelope and implementing efficient heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems [3].

The EC's (European Community) Renovation Wave sets the objective of fostering deep energy renovations [4]. Deep Renovation represents a term that defines the process of renovation with a focus on the building shell of existing buildings that will result in achieving of high energy performance standards. The deep renovation should also deliver an optimal level of indoor environmental quality to the building occupants [4].

The approach to renovating existing buildings by adding new structures has significant functional advantages that set it apart as one of the more significant methods to the complex renovation of buildings. This refers to the possibility of adding missing functional elements to an existing building, such as elevators, fire escapes, or balconies, which can greatly improve its spatial-functional quality as well as the comfort of its users. The added volumes are enabling functional upgrades and an overall reorganization of a building's spatial structure, with improvements on many levels [5].

The research focuses on determining the approach for achieving deep renovation goals through extensive spatial interventions on building envelope in the Serbian housing stock. The most prevalent multi-family housing stock in Serbian cities was built between 1946 and 1970, with 25% of the total multi-family buildings originating from that period [6]. These buildings have functional and spatial-organizational deficiencies as a consequence of the valid laws and design principles of the time, that defined their initial design. Usually, they are described as structures with small apartments that should accommodate as many people as possible, resulting in inadequate unit dimensions, rigid spatial organization, and small windows. Moreover, these buildings are characterized with high energy consumption for heating. Therefore, this research analyses the application of the methodology of complex and integrated refurbishment by introducing the principle of volumes addition to existing multi-family buildings with the aim to achieve high energy efficiency standards, modernize residential units, and improve vertical building communications. The paper will show the volumetric addition to three typologically different multi-family residential buildings. The study includes a comparative analysis of the energy performances of existing state for three representative buildings of different types and variants for improving the energy performances through volumetric additions. The research aims to review the advantages and limitations of applying volumetric addition strategies for energy
improvements in multi-family residential buildings built during the mass construction period.

2. METHODOLOGY

The research is based on several analytical methods. It starts with the analysis of the typology of multi-family housing constructed after World War II, as well as its spatial organization and functional characteristics. For further analysis, three representative buildings of different types are identified, and their spatial organization and functional characteristics are analyzed. The next step includes the evaluation of the energy performances of the selected buildings using the Knaufterm software. Further, the various modalities with special attention to volumetric intervention resulting in energy improvements of the buildings are defined and analyzed. The energy performances of improved state are analyzed using the same energy calculation software. Finally, a comparative analysis of the results is conducted, and the advantages and limitations of applying the volumetric addition strategy to the existing building focused on improving its energy performance are determined.

2.1. Multi-family housing stock built in the period from 1946 to 1970 in Serbia

Of the total stock of multi-family residential buildings in the Republic of Serbia, 24.81% were built in the period from 1946 to 1970 [6]. This period is characterized by intensive housing construction, the dynamic growth of cities, the development of new settlements, and urban open city block typology.

The classification of multi-family buildings based on architectural-urban planning parameters and building characteristics includes the following types:
- A free-standing building, on a separate plot, does not border neighboring buildings on any side,
- A free-standing building consisting of two or more identical units with the separate entrances, in an open city block (“lamella”),
- A building in a row, within a series of different buildings in a closed city block, borders neighboring buildings on one or two sides,
- A high-rise free-standing building with more than 10 storeys, on a separate plot, does not border neighboring buildings on any side [7].

The buildings built in this period have common generative characteristics; the architectural form of the buildings was compact and geometrically regular, the facade was simple without decorative elements, and the windows were rather small. The buildings were built in a traditional way, in a massive construction system, with brick as the dominant material. Similarities are also noticeable in the spatial organization regardless the type of the building: central position of the entrance to the building, the position of the staircase, the absence of an elevator, and the interior and exterior finishes. Flats were characterized with rather small kitchens often encompassing a dining space so there is no separate dining room. Such similarities between the types derive primarily from the post-war housing
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3 Knaufterm is the commercial software that is the most used calculation tool for calculating energy performance and determining the energy class of a building in Serbia.
policy, which aimed to provide the minimum housing space in the shortest possible
time for a large number of people using known constructive systems and traditional
building techniques [6]. Rational solutions, common to all buildings, are the result
of regulations for residential construction that aimed to define the minimum
dimensional and technical standards. The regulation regarding thermal protection
emerged only in the late 1960s [8]. Buildings from this period do not have an
adequate solution for thermal conductivity from today’s perspective of thermal
requirements. However, the simple, cubic forms and good quality of structural
elements and applied materials of the building envelope make them extremely
suitable for energy renovation because significant improvements can be achieved
with relatively simple measures [7].

2.2. Various aspects of refurbishment of a building using the
strategy for volumetric addition

The sustainable renovation goal is to improve living conditions in existing multi-
family residential buildings and achieve high energy efficiency standards. Various
parameters affect the heating energy calculation: the materialization and quality of
building envelope, the ratio of the volume of a building to the area of the building
envelope (shape factor), the total usable heated area and the “exposure” of the
building [9]. In common practice improvement measures are based on bettering
the conductivity characteristics of building envelope through addition of insulation
layers and windows replacement, a process which can have several qualitative
levels [10]. Focus of this research investigates more complex approach based on
the application of volumetric additions to the volume of a building. This method,
part from changing the thermal characteristics of envelope changes the shape
factor and increases its useful living space enabling the redefinition of the existing
apartments of minimal dimensions and outdated and rigid spatial organization [11].
The functional characteristics of the building, such as inadequate vertical
communications, can also be improved by applying volumetric additions. A vertical
volumetric addition on the roof of a building increases its useful living space (Fig. 1)
which can be commercialized presenting an economic base for overall
intervention. An increase in the number of floors of a building is possible only if the
preliminary loadbearing analysis shows that a building is suitable for such an
intervention.

![Figure 1. Variants of volumetric addition to an existing building. Image by authors](image)

2.2. Strategy of volume addition to different types of multi-family
housing buildings
The strategy for adding volumetric extensions to facades and on a roof of an existing building in a complex and integrated renovation depends firstly on urban conditions, heritage protection regime than building type, structural strength (of all described building types), and the lot size and accessibility.

As free-standing buildings were built in an open city block, there is sufficient surrounding space that is necessary for this renovation approach. Free-standing buildings consisting of two or more identical units (lamella), can be addressed in the same way apart from dilatation spaces and walls between them. On such buildings, there is a possibility of volumetric addition to the side facades of its end units. In the case of buildings in a row in a closed city block, two main facades are suitable for this kind of intervention, but in general, as these buildings were built on the regulation line, extension on the street facade is only partially allowed. Interventions resulting in the increase of the volume of such a building are more applicable on the courtyard façade. Depending on the structural strength of such a building, an extension on the roof is also possible (Fig. 2). High-rise, free-standing buildings with more than 10 storeys were not taken into consideration because their percentage share in the total multi-family housing stock in Serbia is very small.

![Figure 2. Variants of volumetric addition to an existing building, Image by authors](image)

### 2.3. Spatial-organizational and functional aspects of the selected multi-family residential buildings

The selected buildings for the research were built in the same period in Belgrade, in a traditional way, in a massive construction system, with brick as the dominant material.

They share common characteristics in spatial-organizational and functional aspects (Fig. 3). The buildings have a basement and five or six original storeys. The basement of the buildings is used as storage space, and all other floors are for residential purposes. On all the floors, the apartments are grouped around a centrally located staircase. None of the selected buildings have elevators. All types of apartments contain an entrance hallway, a bathroom, a kitchen, a living room, and one or two bedrooms depending on usable area of the apartment.

The spatial organization of the apartments is similar in all three selected types of multi-family residential buildings. The units are designed with minimal dimensions, which was characteristic of residential buildings built in that period.
In the representative free-standing building, the spatial arrangement of four apartments, two smaller with a usable area of 50m², and two bigger with a usable area of 56m², is repeated on all the floors. The only difference is that larger apartments also have a separate dining area. The building does not have any balconies or loggias.

In the selected lamella building typical structural part is consisting of three units with separate entrances, on all the floors. The spatial arrangement of four apartments with a usable area of 51m², and two apartments with a usable area of 72m² is repeated. The apartments are grouped around three centrally located staircases. There are six apartments on each floor. The only difference is that larger apartments have two bedrooms. According to the original documentation, all apartments on the upper floors had loggias. However, in order to expand the usable heated space, many tenants closed the loggias.

In the selected building in a row in a closed city block, the spatial arrangement of two identical apartments with a usable area of 72m² is repeated on all the floors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of the building</th>
<th>Free-standing building</th>
<th>Building in a row in a closed city block</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>Bezanijska kosa, Belgrade, Serbia</td>
<td>Profosorska kolonija, Belgrade, Serbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction year</strong></td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of floors</strong></td>
<td>Basement + Ground floor + 4 floors</td>
<td>Basement + Ground floor + 5 floors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose of floors</strong></td>
<td>Basement Storage</td>
<td>Basement Storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground floor Apartments</td>
<td>Ground floor Apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper floors Apartments</td>
<td>Upper floors Apartments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of apartments</strong></td>
<td>20 (4 apartments on one floor)</td>
<td>36 (6 apartments on one floor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Usable area of apartments</strong></td>
<td>10 apartments with an area of 50 m²</td>
<td>24 apartments with an area of 50 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 apartments with an area of 56 m²</td>
<td>12 apartments with an area of 76 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication</strong></td>
<td>1 entrance and 1 stairwell, no elevator</td>
<td>3 entrances and 3 stairwells, no elevator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total heated area</strong></td>
<td>Apartments 1091.70 m²</td>
<td>Apartments 2106.75 m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unheated area</strong></td>
<td>Basement, stairwell</td>
<td>Basement, stairwells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heating system</strong></td>
<td>Electric energy</td>
<td>Central district heating system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 3. Spatial-organizational and functional characteristics of the selected multi-family residential buildings, Image by authors*

### 2.4. Energy aspect of the selected existing buildings

In all three buildings, the heated area includes the apartments on all floors, while the stairwell and basement are not heated. Calculation of heat transfer coefficients for the entire building envelope of the buildings, indicates significantly higher values than in energy efficient buildings (as required by the sub-law documents) (Fig. 4).
Figure 4. Comparative analysis - Heat transfer coefficients for elements of the building envelope and the highest permitted values of the heat transfer coefficient for elements of the building envelope of an existing building according to the Rulebook on Energy Efficiency [9]. Image by authors

Based on the calculation, the selected buildings have very high transmission losses and specific annual energy requirements for heating, so they belong to the lowest energy classes on the scale of energy efficiency classes for residential buildings (Fig. 5). It can be concluded that it would be extremely desirable to carry out energy efficiency renovations of the buildings to reduce the total energy for heating and, therefore, improve the energy efficiency classes.
2.5. Energy efficiency/spatial/functional retrofit of the existing building with volumetric additions

The research represents the complex and integral refurbishment of the existing buildings by refurbishment of all elements of the building envelope according to the sub-law defined standards and by proposing volumetric additions to improve the spatial-organizational, functional, and energy performances of the buildings.

For the free-standing building, the conceptual solution proposes volumetric additions on both longitudinal facades, which would increase the usable living space of residential units, and the addition of loggias on the longitudinal facades and balconies on the side facades. The solution also proposes the addition of an elevator (Fig. 6). The conceptual solution proposes a continuous volumetric addition on the roof of the building. The spatial organization of the upgraded floor would be the same as the typical floor.

For the lamella building the conceptual solution proposes volumetric additions on both longitudinal facades, which would increase the usable living space of residential units, and the addition of loggias on the longitudinal facades. The solution also proposes the addition of elevators (Fig. 7). The conceptual solution proposes a continuous volumetric addition on the roof of the building. The spatial organization of the upgraded floor would be the same as the typical floor.
The representative multi-family residential building in a row in a closed city block belongs to the spatial, cultural, and historical complex "Area around Dositej's Lyceum," which has been declared a cultural heritage of exceptional importance. Due to its protected status, interventions on the street facade and roof of this building are not allowed in order to preserve the appearance of the surrounding architectural ensemble. Additionally, this building is situated on a regulation line, making it impossible to add additional volumes. Interventions are only permitted on
the courtyard facade of this building. The conceptual solution proposes volumetric additions on the courtyard facade, which would increase the usable living space of residential units, and the addition of loggias. The solution proposes the addition of an elevator (Fig. 8).

3. RESULTS

Based on the interventions significant results were achieved in spatial-organizational, functional, and energy-efficient performances in all three selected buildings (Fig. 9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of the building</th>
<th>Existing state of the building</th>
<th>Volumetric addition</th>
<th>Function of a volumetric addition</th>
<th>Project improvement of the building</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free-standing building</td>
<td>Number of floors: 5, Number of apartments: 20, Total heating surface: 1091.70 m²</td>
<td>Partial volume addition to all floors</td>
<td>Useful heated space, elevator</td>
<td>Number of floors: 7, Number of apartments: 28, Total heating surface: 1589.37 m², Heating surface of apartments: 56 m², 69 m², Energy class: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free-standing building consisting of two or more identical units with the separate entrances</td>
<td>Number of floors: 6, Number of apartments: 36, Total heating surface: 2106.75 m², Heating surface of apartments: 50 m², 75 m² Energy class: 6</td>
<td>Continuous volume addition</td>
<td>Useful heated space, elevator</td>
<td>Number of floors: 7, Number of apartments: 42, Total heating surface: 3297.88 m², Heating surface of apartments: 64 m², 92 m², Energy class: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building in a row in a closed city block</td>
<td>Number of floors: 6, Number of apartments: 12, Total heating surface: 983.14 m², Heating surface of apartments: 72 m² Energy class: 3</td>
<td>Partial volume addition to all floors</td>
<td>Useful heated space, elevator</td>
<td>Number of floors: 6, Number of apartments: 12, Total heating surface: 947.14 m², Heating surface of apartments: 79 m² Energy class: 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. A comparative analysis of the existing states and the complex and integrated improvements in the selected existing multi-family residential buildings, Image by authors
4. DISCUSSION

Deep refurbishment methodology that included improvement of all elements of building envelope combined with volumetric interventions on the buildings has resulted in significant improvement of the performance of the analyzed buildings. Spatial redefinition of the units has given, at the same time, new quality to the process bringing rather outdated structures to the contemporary standards and user demands. Comparing the achieved energy levels, it is noticeable that all buildings fulfill the standards for new structures and even exceed them. As a consequence of the process significant reduction of carbon dioxide emission has been achieved (Fig. 10).

It is noticeable that the energy class of the freestanding building increased by 4 energy classes, from F to B. The same case applies to the freestanding building with three separate entrances, where the energy class transitioned from the lowest, G, to C. The reason for such significant shifts in the energy rating is due to the addition of volume to the façade walls and the entire roof surface. The usable heated space has significantly increased, along with the building's volume, and the overall building envelope has been improved.

In the case of a row building within an urban block, the energy class increased by 2 energy classes, from E to C, having smaller effect compared to the previous two cases. The reason for such a change in the energy rating is due to a smaller range of volumetric additions. Volumetric addition was applied only to one façade, while the other façade and the roof were not included.
5. CONCLUSION

The benefits that could be achieved by applying the strategy of volumetric redefinition of an existing building by addition of volumes are multiple. They are ranging from simple improvement of energy efficiency class to the redefinition of living spaces and bettering of overall performance of the building prolonging its lifespan and increasing the value. Considering that it is a very complex type of renovation that requires interventions on the entire building envelope, it can be concluded that this renovation strategy is most suitable for free-standing buildings as well as lamellas, because these buildings are mostly located in open city blocks and have facades that can be easily approached. This strategy is partially applicable to a row of buildings within a city block due to various limitations (urban, spatial, structural…). Complex and integrated refurbishment that are including the overall approach treating the existing buildings as a starting point for new design (redesign) including improving of energy efficiency but achieving, at the same time, spatial-organizational and functional improvements can be recognized as a valuable method for future practice. Having in mind modern theories of sustainable and resilient development professionals have to address the existing building fund as one of the greatest resources of a humankind and apply methodology that will enable its longevity and usefulness.
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